Audit Committee 27 May 2008

## **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

## 27 MAY 2008

In the absence of a quorum it was agreed by those present to proceed with the items on the agenda on an informal basis.

PRESENT: Councillor Clark (Chair) and Councillor Taylor.

**OFFICIALS:** B Baldam and J Bennington.

\*\* PRESENT BY INVITATION: Celia Craig, Director, Assurance & Advisory,

Deloitte & Touche LLP

Rodney Walker, Audit Manager, Audit Commission.

\*\* APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE were submitted on behalf of Councillors C Hobson and Majid.

## \*\* DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Name of Member	Type of Interest	Item / Nature of Interest
Councillor Taylor	Personal/Non Prejudicial	Any matters relating Concessionary Fare Scheme – holder of a concessionary fare pass.

# \*\*MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting of the Audit Committee held on 18 March 2008 were submitted.

**AGREED** that the minutes be recommended for approval as a correct record.

# **ANNUAL GOVERNANCE STATEMENT 2007/2008**

The Deputy Director of Resources presented a report on the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) 2007/2008. A flowchart, which set out the framework for the AGS, was circulated at the meeting for Members' information.

Members were reminded that as part of the annual accounts process the Council was required to review its system of internal controls and to publish a statement of that review known as the Statement on Internal Control (SIC). From 2007/2008 onwards, the scope of the review had been extended to include the Council's wider governance arrangements as well as its internal controls. The Council was now required to publish an Annual Governance Statement with a wider scope than the SIC.

In accordance with CIPFA guidance reference was made to six principles that underpinned the governance arrangements of the Council as follows: -

- focusing on the purpose of the authority, outcomes for the community and creating and implementing a vision for the local area;
- Members and officers working together to achieve a common purpose with clearly defined functions and roles;
- promoting values for the authority and demonstrating the values of good governance through upholding high standards of conduct and behaviour;
- taking informed and transparent decisions which are subject to effective scrutiny and managing risk;
- developing the capacity and capability of members and officers to be effective;
- engaging with local people and other stakeholders to ensure robust public accountability.

Audit Committee 27 May 2008

Subsequent to the Council's approval of the Code of Governance in January 2004 the Code had been reviewed and updated to incorporate the Corporate Governance framework.

Whilst there was no statutory timetable for approval of the AGS there was a requirement for it to be published with the financial statements for which there was a statutory deadline by 30<sup>th</sup> June each year. The full AGS was provided at Appendix A of the report submitted.

In accordance with the new framework the Council was required to undertake regular reviews of their governance arrangements to ensure continuing compliance with best practice. The Council had established a Corporate Governance Team who was responsible for managing the process by which the annual review of corporate governance was conducted. The AGS had been compiled following a review by the governance team of the effectiveness of the Council's systems of internal control and governance arrangements. A summary of the areas reviewed and evidence obtained was outlined in Appendix B of the report submitted.

It was pointed out that although the AGS related to governance arrangements as applied during the 2007/2008 financial year significant events or developments in the current year may also have been included.

In accordance with the Statement of Recommended Practices (SORP) 2006 in terms of the compilation of accounts the scope of the annual governance review had been extended to cover relationships with organisations where the control/involvement by the Council was significant. Organisations identified under such arrangements included:

- a) Dial a Ride Middlesbrough
- b) CADCAM
- c) Hustler Playing Fields Trust
- d) West Middlesbrough Neighbourhood Trust.

Members were advised that all of the organisations had also completed a service assurance statement for 2007/08 and that none of them had identified any issues, which needed to be separately reported in the AGS.

Reference was made to a review of the Council's partnership arrangements which were undertaken using the partnership matrix provided in the framework guidance.

The key partnerships identified for Middlesbrough Council were reported as follows: -

- Mouchel Service Middlesbrough
- Local Strategic Partnership (LSP)
- Stockton/Middlesbrough Regeneration
- Children's Trust
- Bus Partnership & Tees Valley Bus Network
- Groundwork South Tees
- Middlesbrough Environmental City
- Tees Community Equipment Service
- Middlehaven Regeneration Project
- Tees Valley Unlimited (TVU).

Although the assurance arrangements were robust for the majority of all of the partnerships reviewed it was confirmed that there was scope to improve the supporting documentation, formalise agreements and extend the monitoring of outcomes.

The report also identified a number of other developments implemented by the Council which were designed to ensure improvements in risk management, performance, financial management and governance.

Members were also advised of the contribution by the Standards Committee with regard to the improvement of the control environment within the Council. It was confirmed that the Standards Committee at its meeting held on 27 May 2008 had agreed the Code of Corporate Governance.

Audit Committee 27 May 2008

Members' attention was drawn to the section under Significant Internal Control Issues which had been raised in the 2006/2007 statement and the actions taken during 2007/2008 to address them in respect of the following: -

- a) Works employment schemes with regard to the process and procedures being followed;
- b) weaknesses in the monitoring on Surestart grant funded in terms of insufficient record keeping.

Reference was also made to the following areas of concern which had arisen during 2007/2008 which had been highlighted along with action plans to address them in respect of:

- Town Centre Heritage Initiatives Historic Lottery Fund in terms of process and procedures;
- ii) Partnership Assurance Arrangements whilst all significant partnerships had robust governance arrangements there were some smaller, older partnerships which needed to be improved.

## AGREED as follows: -

- 1. That it be recommended to approve the Annual Governance Statement 2007/2008.
- 2. That it be recommended to note in particular the reported internal control issues' section within the Annual Governance Statement.
- 3. That the list of organisations identified in respect of Partnership arrangements outlined in the report include Erimus Housing.
- 4. That in response to a Members' question further information be provided regarding the operation of the new Nationwide Concessionary Fare Scheme with particular regard to the reimbursement arrangements with bus operators.

## **AUDIT COMMISSION – AUDIT AND INSPECTION LETTER MARCH 2008**

The Chair welcomed Rodney Walker (Audit Manager- Audit Commission) who presented the Annual Audit and Inspection Letter, which summarised the conclusions and significant issues that had arisen from recent audit and inspection work at Middlesbrough Council.

Members' attention was drawn to the following key messages in the March 2008 Audit and Inspection Letter: -

- a) Middlesbrough Council was improving strongly and classified as four-star in its current level of performance under the Comprehensive Performance Assessment;
- b) the Council's current financial position was sound and the Council had strengthened internal control through the development of business continuity plans and the introduction of an Audit Committee.

In terms of the statement regarding any action needed by the Council it was stated that as the Council was rated four stars and performing strongly there were no significant actions required. It was pointed out however that opportunities remained for the Council to further improve its use of resources and well placed to secure further improvements in the use of resources score.

**AGREED** that it be recommended that the information provided be noted.